

Toward Direct Imaging of Low-Mass Gas-Giant Planets with the *James Webb Space Telescope*

J. E. Schlieder¹, C. A. Beichman², M. R. Meyer³, & T. Greene⁴

¹NASA Postdoctoral Program Fellow, NASA Ames Research Center

email: joshua.e.schlieder@nasa.gov

²NASA Exoplanet Science Institute/Caltech, ³ETH Zürich, ⁴NASA Ames Research Center

Abstract. In preparation for observations with the *James Webb Space Telescope (JWST)*, we have identified new members of the nearby, young M dwarf sample and compiled an up to date list of these stars. Here we summarize our efforts to identify young M dwarfs, describe the current sample, and detail its demographics in the context of direct planet imaging. We also describe our investigations of the unprecedented sensitivity of the *JWST* when imaging nearby, young M dwarfs. The *JWST* is the only near term facility capable of routinely pushing direct imaging capabilities around M dwarfs to sub-Jovian masses and will provide key insight into questions regarding low-mass gas-giant properties, frequency, formation, and architectures.

Keywords. stars: low-mass, stars: imaging, techniques: high-resolution

1. Introduction

M dwarfs, low-mass stars ($\leq 0.6 M_{\odot}$) cooler than the Sun (≤ 3900 K), are the most common stars in the Galaxy ($\sim 75\%$). The youngest M dwarfs in the Solar neighborhood ($d \leq 100$ pc) are members of loose associations having common Galactic kinematics and ages ~ 10 -130 Myr; young moving groups (YMGs, Zuckerman & Song 2004). Due to their proximity, youth, and intrinsically low-luminosities, M dwarf YMG members are prime targets for direct exoplanet imaging. Additionally, a sizable population of $\sim$ Jupiter to $\sim$ Neptune mass planets is detected at moderate separations (~ 1 - 10 AU) around M dwarfs by gravitational micro-lensing surveys (Cassan et al. 2012). For these reasons, many dedicated searches to identify the missing M dwarf members of YMGs have been undertaken, e.g. Kraus et al. (2014), and several surveys to directly image their planets are ongoing (Bowler et al. 2015). Despite the identification of several hundred likely new M dwarf YMG members and high-contrast imaging observations of a sizable fraction of that sample, YMGs still lack the large numbers of M dwarfs expected (Kraus et al. 2014) and only a few planetary-mass companions on wide orbits have been imaged. To probe the known population of low-mass gas-giants around M dwarfs via direct imaging, more members of the nearby young sample must be identified and facilities with greater sensitivity are required.

2. A Search For Nearby Young M Dwarfs and the Current Sample

We have identified nearly 400 candidate M dwarf YMG members using a selection algorithm that hinges on proper motion, photometry, and activity (see Schlieder et al. 2012). For the last 3 years we have pursued an all-sky, spectroscopic, follow-up program to identify true YMG members in the sample that we call *CASTOFFS* (Schlieder et al. 2015). We have observed $\sim \frac{3}{4}$ of our candidates using high-resolution optical (MPG

2.2m/FEROS, CAHA 2.2m/CAFE) or medium-resolution near-IR (IRTF 3.0m/Spex) spectroscopy and our analyses continue. Our high-resolution optical spectra have so far revealed more than 50 new M dwarf YMG members, several isolated young field M dwarfs, and a dozen spectroscopic binaries. Our analyses of the near-IR spectra are just beginning and young M dwarfs with low surface-gravity features are already apparent in the data.

We have compiled an up to date list of young, low-mass stars within 100 pc from the literature and added to it our new identifications from *CASTOFFS*. We primarily include stars having both spectroscopic confirmation of youth and at least partial kinematics (proper motion and RV) consistent with YMG membership. A few well characterized, young field stars are also included. From more than 30 literature references over the last ~ 15 years and our new results, we find 440 $\sim$ K5 - M9 systems (338 known or presumed single, 102 multiples) with ages ~ 10 -400 Myr at distances $\lesssim 100$ pc. This is nearly a factor of five increase over the M dwarf YMG sample from Torres et al. 2008. Only $\sim \frac{1}{3}$ of the sample has measured parallaxes, the remaining distances are kinematic or photometric estimates. There are fewer stars within 25 pc than anticipated and the population falls off very quickly beyond $\sim$ M4 type and distances $\gtrsim 50$ pc, indicating the potential for more discoveries with ongoing, dedicated searches.

3. James Webb Space Telescope Survey Simulations and Future Work

The *James Webb Space Telescope* will provide unprecedented imaging sensitivity. Observing at $\sim 4.5 \mu\text{m}$ with a coronagraph, the NIRCcam instrument is expected to provide a contrast of 10^{-5} at $1''$ separations with a very low background limit. To explore these capabilities in the context of M dwarfs, we have performed imaging survey simulations on our young M dwarf sample using the Monte Carlo methods described in Beichman et al. (2010). Young planet magnitudes were taken from extended COND03 models (Baraffe et al. 2003) covering 0.1 - 5 M_{Jup} . The simulated survey results reveal routine imaging sensitivity to planets $< 0.5 M_{Jup}$ at > 50 AU separations. In the best cases, the simulations predict *JWST*/NIRCcam will detect $\sim 0.1 M_{Jup}$ ($\sim 2 M_{Nep}$) planets at < 10 AU, directly probing the known micro-lensing population.

Our preliminary simulations indicate that *JWST*/NIRCcam imaging can routinely detect sub-Jovian mass planets around nearby, young M dwarfs. However, further work is warranted. New constraints on the M dwarf planet population are available and new planet evolution and atmosphere models will provide better predictions of young, low-mass planet luminosities. We plan to include these new results in our future simulations. Our continuing *CASTOFFS* survey and improved survey simulations will provide high priority targets for early *JWST* GTO and GO proposals and pave the way for the first direct images of low-mass gas-giant planets.

References

- Baraffe, I., et al. 2003, *A&A*, 402, 701
- Beichman, C. A., et al. 2010, *PASP*, 122, 162
- Bowler, B., et al. 2015, *ApJS*, 216, 7
- Cassan, A., et al. 2012, *Nature*, 481, 167
- Kraus, A.L., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 147, 146
- Schlieder, J. E., et al. 2012, *AJ*, 143, 80
- Schlieder, J. E., et al. 2015, *Proceedings of CS18*, Editors: G. van Belle and H.C. Harris, 919
- Torres, C.A.O., et al. 2008, *HSTFR*, Editor: Bo Reipurth, 2, 757
- Zuckerman, B. & Song, I. 2004, *ARA&A*, 42, 645